



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,158	08/20/2004	Kenji Mori	MUR-041-USA-PCT	5704

7590 08/01/2007
TOWNSEND & BANTA
c/o PortfolioIP
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER

HOLMES, REX R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3762

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/01/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/505,158

Applicant(s)

MORI ET AL.

Examiner

Rex Holmes

Art Unit

3762

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7 misspells the word "manufacturing" in line 1 of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grossman et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,979,517 hereinafter "Grossman")
4. Grossman discloses an electrode with support having bending portions (Figs. 1-3), an electrode layer passing over the bending portions (Fig. 3, 16), and an insulating layer with a dielectric material that has a glass transition temperature of at least 25°C or below (12, "~ -30°C"). Grossman further discloses that the bending portions are between 90 and 270 degrees (Figs. 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3762

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 3-5 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grossman as applied to claims 1 and 6-7 above, and further in view of Ikeda et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,582,697 hereinafter "Ikeda").

8. Grossman discloses the claimed invention except for the electrode layer being composed of either silver, silver chloride or carbon/carbon paste on base layer of polyethylene terephthalate. Ikeda teaches that it is known to use carbon paste, silver or silver chloride as the conductive element in an electrode as set forth in e.g. Column 5, ll. 15-24 to provide a conductive material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode as taught by Grossman, with the carbon paste, silver or silver chloride as the conductive element as taught by Ikeda, since such a modification would provide the electrode with known conductive element to be sprayed on a base layer for providing a suitable electrode with high conductance.

Art Unit: 3762

9. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grossman and further in view of Netherly (U.S. Pat. 5,947,961).

Grossman discloses the claimed invention except explicitly stating the thickness of the insulating layer and that the insulating layer is formed from screen printing.

Netherly discloses that the thickness of the insulating layer is between 0.5 micrometers to 100 micrometers and that it is screen printed on (Fig. 2, "26"; Col. 7, ll. 29-45; Col. 5, ll. 31-49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode as taught by Grossman, with an thin insulating layer that is formed from screen printing as taught by Netherly, since it was known in the art that screen printing is a common method of creating electrodes and that it forms thin layers that are perfectly shaped from different patterns.

It is noted that Fig. 2, shows that the support layer 24 is 50 micrometers thick and the insulating layer 24 is the same thickness as the support layer.

10. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,219,569 hereinafter "Kelly") and further in view of Grossman.

11. Kelly discloses an electrode comprised of an insulating layer (), a base composed of polyethylene terephthalate (Col. 5, ll. 49-52), a conductive portion formed of either silver or carbon or a paste made from a conductor (Col. 6, ll. 9-18), and wherein the layers of the electrode are formed by screen printing (Col. 3, ll. 38-44).

Kelly discloses the claimed invention except for the insulator having a glass transition temperature of at least 25°C or below and bending portions that are between 90 and 270 degrees. However, Grossman discloses an electrode with support having bending

Art Unit: 3762

portions (Figs. 1-3), an electrode layer passing over the bending portions (Fig. 3, 16), and an insulating layer with a dielectric material that has a glass transition temperature of at least 25°C or below (12, “~ -30°C”). Grossman further discloses that the bending portions are between 90 and 270 degrees (Figs. 1-3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode as taught by Kelly, with bending portions and a dielectric with a very low glass transition temperature as taught by Grossman, since such a modification would provide the electrode with standard design with an increased dielectric for providing a flexible dielectric that can stand various temperature without becoming brittle and cracking.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Rex Holmes
Examiner
Art Unit 3762



George Evanisko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762

7/16/17